Inter State Seniority lists in Revenue Department

304. SRI N. B. SIRDESAI (Khanapur).— Will the Minister for Revenue and Agriculture be pleased to state:—

(a) whether Inter-State seniority lists of the Second Division Clerks, Deputy Tahsildars and Tahsildars in the Revenue Department have been prepared ;

(b) if so, how many Second Division Clerks, Deputy Tahsildars and Tahsildars are now due for promotion in each division;

(c) whether any time limit is fixed up for implementation of the seniority list and what criteria are followed for giving promotions;

(d) how many second division clerks, Deputy Tahsildars and Tahsildars are due for promotion in each taluk of Belgaum District and why promotion orders are held up?

SHRI S. BANGARAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Agriculture).—

(a) Yes.

(b)

		SDCs/Dy.	Tahsildars.
1. Bangalore Dn.	...	Nil	Nil
2. Belgaum	...	9	Nil
3. Gulbarga	...	7	12
4. Mysore	...	Nil	Nil

Promotion of Tahsildars to the higher cadre is done with reference to the Gradation list of Tahsildars to be prepared on the basis of ISS lists.

(c) No, promotions of officials are ordered following the revewed gradation lists of First Division Clerks/First Grade Revenue Inspectors prepared based on the ISS. lists depending on vacancy position.

(d) In Belgaum District 9 Second Division Clerk, who have been give eligibility dates, after review of promotion are due to be nominally promoted as First Division Clerks.

The Talukwise break up is given below:—

Sl. No.	Name of the Taluk	No. of Second Division Clerks to be promoted.
1.	Belgaum	2
2.	Khanapur	3
3.	Bailhongal	2
4.	Chikodi	1
5.	Raibag	1
	Total ...	9

Nine Second Division Clerks who have got eligibility dates for promotions to the cadre of First Division Clerks/First Grade Revenue Inspectors on the review of promotion made in accordance with the provision of section 5 of the Karnataka Service Examination Act, 1976 are to be promoted to the cadre of First Division Clerks/First Grade Revenue Inspectors by reverting the First Division Clerks who have already been promoted earlier based on the working list.

The First Division Clerks who have secured the deemed dates could not be promoted for the reason that the officials who were to be reverted have obtained a stay order from High Court.

Hence these nine officials could not be reverted to provide for promotion to the eligible 9 Second division clerks. As soon as High Court vacates the stay order, they will be promoted.

The cadre of Tahsildar is a Statewide cadre. Promotion to this cadre is made on the basis of Statewide gradation list prepared on the basis of ISS lists of Deputy Tahsildars/Sheristedars.

SRI N. B. SIRDESAI.— With reference to your answer (a) to Question No. 304, May I know on what date the Inter-State seniority list was prepared and what is the procedure usully followed by the Goverument for preparing the list, whether it is prepared yearly, once in two years or three years.

SRI S. BANGARAPPA.— Sir, the hon. Member wants to know the exact date on which this Inter-state Senioarity list has been finalised

or published. This has been done on 8-12-1977 and 25-1-1979. There are two dates on which this has been done.

SRI N. B. SIRDEAI.— What one the procedures to be followed by the Government while preparing the list?

SRI S. BANGARAPPA.— Sir, the list is prepared as per the States Re-organisation Act.

SRI N.B. SIRDESAI.— Whether these lists are prepared yearly, or once in two years or three years or at any time as you like.

SRI S. BANGARAPPA.— This list is not prepared as per the whims and fancies of the Government. That has been done as per the Rules embodied under the concerned Acts.

SRI N.B. SIRDESAI.— With reference to your answer (b) of question 304, may I know way promotions are held up and while counting the seniority of Officials whether their previous service rendered in the previous department are counted for Seniority purpose.

SRI S. BANGARAPPA.— Sir, the promotions of these persons or officers are not un-necessarily held up by the Government. There has been. no doubt, sometimes delay in finalising these matters on account of so many reasons. These are matters which have gone to courts and on several occasions there have been several decisions pertaining to finalisation of Seniority list of different cadres. There has not been any un-necaessary delay in finalising the promotions. So far as the services rendered by those persons in the other states are concerned that as per the States Re-organisation Act action has been taken. As per rules previous experience will be counted.

SRI N.B. SIRDESAI.— Is it not a fact that Government have reserved to itself un-bridled powers and not fixed any time limit for finalising the Seniority list and they are doing injustice to the officers due for promotion?

SRI S. BANGARAPPA.— It is not the intention of the Government to keep with the Government any un-bridle powers. After the States Re-organisation Act came into force in 1956 so many complications have arisen on account of Re-organisation and there have been several instanceswherein concerned papers are held up either with the Government of India or with the State Government. For so mnay reasons, which I cannot explain since it will take a lot of time, it has not been posible to finalise the matter. The Government does not want to have any un-bridle powers and keep this matter alive without any settlement. Infact, Government is very much keen in settling these matters as early as possible. But for so many reasons that has not been possible and I agree to that extent.